China-SEE energy projects update

Summary

- Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, Montenegro and Romania all plan new lignite power plants during the next few years. In contrast, most EU countries are giving up building new coal plants and seven EU states are already coal-free. The Balkan coal plans starkly conflict with the Paris Agreement's aim of limiting climate change to 1.5 degrees celsius.

- Since the European Investment Bank, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development and the World Bank have virtually halted lending for new coal power plants, most of them are due to be financed by Chinese state banks – ExIm Bank and the China Development Bank (CDB).

- Except Stanari in BiH, which is undergoing test operations, all the plans are seriously delayed.

- The two financing deals signed so far by Chinese banks for lignite power plants in the region are for Stanari (China Development Bank, June 2012) and Kostolac B3 (China ExIm Bank, December 2014).

---

1 Cypru, Luxemburg, Malta, Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia and Belgium. Scotland has also recently closed its last coal-fired power plant for good and others are following suit. The UK and Austria announced that they will quit coal by 2025 – and have already started to reduce their coal capacity – while Portugal aims to be coal free by 2020. http://www.greenpeace.org/eu-unit/en/blog/belgium-kicks-the-coal-habit/blog/56044/

2 A 2015 paper by Christophe McGlade and Paul Ekins calculated that over 80% of coal reserves would have to stay in the ground in order to limit climate change to 2 degrees celsius. http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v517/n7533/full/nature14016.html This means the percentage would be even larger to limit it to 1.5 degrees.

3 With the probable exception of the planned Kosovo e Re plant in Kosovo, for which the World Bank has so far not recognised the existence of viable alternatives, a stance which has been heavily challenged by civil society groups.
Which plants are to receive Chinese financing?

1. Kostolac B3 thermal power plant, 350 MW, Serbia
   In November 2013, a deal was signed with China's National Machinery and Equipment Import and Export Corp (CMEC) to construct the new Kostolac B3 lignite plant in north-east Serbia. No tender procedure appears to have taken place, but the Chinese and Serbian governments signed an intergovernmental agreement freeing joint projects from tender obligations – a move which would not be allowed under EU law.

   A contract for a USD 608 million loan was signed with China Exlm Bank in December 2014. It was ratified by the Serbian parliament in early 2015 in an extraordinary session announced to the public less than 24 hours in advance. The contract contains several problematic provisions, eg. any arbitration will take place in Beijing.

   The Serbian government took the loan on behalf of state company EPS, raising issues of compliance with its state aid obligations under the Energy Community Treaty.

   Several other problems also plague the project. The Drmno mine expansion does not yet have an EIA study or environmental permit and the EIA decision for the power plant is being challenged in the national administrative court. The case is being considered by the Espoo Convention Implementation Committee for failure to assess the transboundary environmental effects of the plant and mine.

   In 2012 the China Banking Regulatory Commission issued the Green Credit Directive as a means of supporting Chinese stakeholders in upholding environmental and social standards in overseas projects. Article 21 states that Chinese banking institutions "shall...make sure project sponsors abide by applicable laws and regulations on environmental protection, land, health, safety, etc. of the country or jurisdiction where the project is located." This provision has so far not been adhered to in the case of Kostolac B3.

   The plant's contribution to Serbia's energy security is also doubtful, as the Drmno mine suffered serious flooding in 2014. As well as having to mount a huge effort to save the mine during the May floods, between July and September more than 2 million cubic metres of water spilled into the mine, bringing with it around 800,000 cubic metres of sludge and mud, and engulfed mining machinery in mud.

2. Stanari lignite power plant, 300 MW, Republika Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina
   The project is promoted by Energy Financing Team (EFT) and financed by the China Development Bank. The construction, carried out by Dongfang Electric Corporation, started in 2013 and test operations started in early 2016. Due to its use of a dry cooling system, the plant's net efficiency level will be very low 34.1 percent, compared to 40 percent required by the best available techniques standard.

   Originally the plant's environmental permit allowed air pollution from the plant to be 2-3 times as high as allowed by the Large Combustion Plants Directive. Only after a complaint to the Energy Community dispute settlement mechanism was submitted by environmental group Center for Environment from Banja Luka in January 2014, was the permit reviewed. Since the plant was permitted, the Energy Community's rules have changed to require the application of the stricter Industrial Emissions Directive for plants entering operation after 2019, rendering Stanari out of step with the latest standards before it even enters operation.

   As with Kostolac B3, Stanari is currently under examination by the Espoo Convention due to Bosnia and Herzegovina's failure to notify neighbouring Croatia about the plant's potential transboundary impacts. This also raises doubts about whether the project complies with Article 21 of China's Green Credit Directive, requiring national legislation to be followed during its preparation.

3. Ugljevik III lignite power plant, 2 x 300 MW, Republika Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina
   Ugljevik III is promoted by Russian billionaire Rashid Sardarov’s Comsar Energy and would be constructed by

---

4 On 20 August 2009 the Serbian government signed a Memorandum of Understanding with the Chinese government on economic and technical co-operation in the field of infrastructure. Annex 2 to the 2009 agreement was signed on 26 August 2013. This annex includes a clause in Article 5 that (our translation): Agreements, contracts, programmes and projects carried out in accordance with Article 4 of the Agreement on the territory of the Republic of Serbia do not carry an obligation to publish a public tender for carrying out investment works and delivery of goods and services, except if it is otherwise specified in the commercial contract from paragraph 4 of this Article."

5 From the EU’s Best Available Techniques Reference Document
the China Power Engineering and Consulting Group Corporation (CPECC). CDB representatives were present at the signing of an agreement between CPECC and the Republika Srpska authorities but have never publicly expressed interest in financing the project.

Like Stanari, Ugljevik III would have a very low net efficiency of 34.1 percent. An analysis published by Center for Environment in October 2014 shows that the environmental impact assessment is missing key information and that the data on likely emissions of SO2, NOx and dust are false. This issue is currently being examined by the Energy Community Secretariat.

As with Kostolac B3 and Stanari, Ugljevik III is also currently under examination by the Espoo Convention due to Bosnia and Herzegovina’s failure to notify neighbouring countries about the plant’s transboundary impacts.

Before the October 2014 elections in Bosnia and Herzegovina there was a flurry of activity around the project, with the Republika Srpska government declaring it a project of public interest and issuing a partial construction permit, but since then there has been little progress. In January 2016 the Republika Srpska government approved some controversial annexes to the concession agreement, stating that it would delay the implementation of EU environmental law for as long as possible, but recently it has been reported that these may be revised again.

4. Tuzla 7, 450 MW, Federation of BiH, Bosnia and Herzegovina

In August 2014, publicly-owned electricity company Elektroprivreda Bosne and Hercegovine (EPBiH) signed an EPC (Engineering, Procurement, Construction) contract with China Gezhouba Group and Guangdong Electric Power Design for the construction of a new unit at Tuzla, after Japan’s Hitachi - also shortlisted - dropped out of the project partly due to its poor economics. The price tag for construction at the time was EUR 785.7 million, but it was later admitted that the project was not economically feasible in this form. The current construction cost of the plant quoted by EPBiH Director Bajazit Jašarević is EUR 722 million, but important questions remain unanswered:

- What compromises have been made in order to bring down the cost?
- What future electricity prices are being assumed?
- What coal price is being assumed? In the original calculations presented to the Federation of BiH Parliament, it was stated that "the price of coal should not rise above the current level which is already now above the price foreseen in the investment documentation for unit 7 (4.75 KM/GJ), or the target price in the Action Plan for Mine Modernisation (4 KM/GJ). In the event that it does, the competitiveness of the current generation and feasibility of the realisation of the new units will be threatened". Yet in 2014 the sales price for coal for power plants was 4.90 KM/GJ. Moreover the sales price is nowhere near to reflecting the production costs, which in 2014 amounted to 6.58 KM.
- Have future costs of CO₂ emissions been included in the calculations and if so, at what price?
- Is the plant feasible if the planned Banovići plant, less than 30 km away, is also built?

Bosnia and Herzegovina has apparently managed to persuade China to provide a loan in EUR rather than USD in order to mitigate exchange rate risks but negotiations are still ongoing about whether the period for paying off the loan can be extended to 15 years instead of 10.

The Federation of BiH government plans to provide a guarantee for the loan, but it is not clear under what conditions, raising issues of compliance with its state aid obligations under the Energy Community Treaty. In November 2013, the Center for Ecology and Energy from Tuzla launched a report on the health impacts of existing and planned coal thermal power plants in the Tuzla area. Using WHO methodology, the study found that coal plants around Tuzla, including Tuzla 7 and the planned unit at Banovići, are expected to cause total health-related economic costs of EUR 810 million and the loss of 39,000 life-years in the period 2015-2030. Tuzla 7 is currently in the process of obtaining an updated environmental permit as the original one expired in 2015.

---

6 The BiHConvertible Mark is pegged to the EUR.
November 2015. However in the study provided for public comments at the end of 2015, no updates had been made since the original 2009 environmental impact assessment study, rendering it out of step with new legislative requirements and failing to examine the impact of the Banovići power plant.

In addition, local people from Šićki Brod are resisting the construction of the ash landfill for the new plant. In early April this year they delivered a petition with 2100 signatures against the proposal to the Ministry of Environment and Tourism.

5. Banovići lignite power plant, 350 MW, Federation of BiH, Bosnia and Herzegovina
The new plant is planned by RMU Banovići (Banovići Brown Coal Mines), a primarily state-owned company, alongside the Banovići mine near Tuzla. On 24.11.2015 an EPC contract was signed with China’s Dongfang.

There appears to be a serious lack of energy planning and co-ordination between the Tuzla 7 and Banovići projects. It is very unlikely that two plants within just a few kilometres of one another can both be feasible, yet the FBiH government has so far supported both projects.

The capacity of the Banovići plant was changed half way through the tender process from 300 MW to 350 MW, which as well as being questionable from the procurement perspective, meant that a new environmental impact assessment had to be carried out in 2015. A new environmental permit was issued on 11 January 2016 but the Ministry for the Environment and Tourism failed to notify even those who had taken part in the consultation process and it was nearly two months before NGO Ekotim was able to obtain the permit. The permit fails to stipulate clearly whether the plant needs to be in line with the EU Industrial Emissions Directive, which is obligatory under the Energy Community Treaty for plants entering operation after 1 January 2019. The revised environmental study which is an integral part of the permit also contains a number of other inaccuracies and contradictions, and is currently being challenged in court by Ekotim.

Another issue of concern with the planned plant is water use. A new reservoir is planned at Ramići that would be used for the coal plant however it appears that filling the reservoir during drier periods may be in direct competition with filling Lake Modrac, which is used for drinking water for Tuzla and for cooling the Tuzla power plant. A further concern is that only an earth dam is planned to keep the reservoir in place, which may not be enough in times of heavy rainfall and may pose a danger to communities downstream. As these weaknesses were been addressed in the environmental permit for the reservoir, it too is now being challenged in court by Ekotim.

6. Rovinari lignite power plant, 500 MW, Romania
Talks of plans for a new unit at Rovinari involving the China Huadian Engineering company have been going on for several years but the project has not received any significant permits. In October 2014 it was announced that plans for a new lignite plant at Rovinari had been put on hold. Yet just a couple of weeks later in early November, a new joint venture company was set up between Huadian and Oltenia Energy Complex (CEO). Therefore the project's future is unclear.

In early December 2014 it was announced that the European Commission has opened an infringement procedure against Romania for failure to properly apply the environmental impact assessment directive when permitting the clearance of forest and expansion of lignite mining at the complex.

Other energy projects in SEE with potential involvement of Chinese companies
As well as the coal power plant projects above, there are a number of other energy sector projects in the pipeline where Chinese companies have expressed interest in participation. In Montenegro's Pljevlja II lignite plant, two China-based companies – CMEC and Hubei-PowerChina were shortlisted, but ultimately Czech Skoda Praha was chosen as preferred bidder.

In Serbia, the projects include the Radijevo lignite mine at the Kolubara mine complex, the Morava 2 lignite power plant, the Štavalj power plant and mine, the Đerdap 3 hydro plants, as well as the Kovin energy complex and Nikola Tesla B3 lignite plant in Obrenovac.

In Republika Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Chinese company Sinohydro signed a deal with EFT on involvement in the 35 MW Ulog hydropower plant project on the river Neretva in September 2012, however the
project is not going well. Some works on access roads started to be carried out and in April 2013 a construction permit was issued for the main project, but then in early July 2013, within just four days of one another, two workers died in separate landslide incidents. Local people report that since then little has been happening at the site and the future of the project is currently uncertain.

Chinese companies are also reported to be interested in the controversial Morača dam project in Montenegro.

**What next?**

With the exception of Stanari, all of the projects are running later than originally projected. The region's energy companies and governments all have ambitious plans for new-build generation capacity, but it remains to be seen how many of them are realistic, considering that high levels of debt plague the region's governments. Equity participation by the Chinese companies is only planned in Rovinari, but even in these cases the projects are not likely to proceed without state guarantees, which add to potential debt and may potentially bring up state aid issues, depending on the conditions of issuance.

The pressure on state resources is all the stronger given that the countries need to either close existing coal units or upgrade them to comply with the EU's Large Combustion Plants and Industrial Emissions Directives (LCPD and IED). A 2013 study undertaken for the Energy Community estimated that IED compliance will cost Bosnia and Herzegovina EUR 374.7 million, Montenegro EUR 50.9 million and Serbia EUR 710.7 million.

The countries also need to meet renewable energy targets under the Energy Community Treaty and to invest in energy efficiency measures, both of which should be a higher priority than building new coal plants.

Trying to cover new-build as well as rehabilitation costs, energy efficiency investments and upping the share of renewables may simply prove to be too expensive for the countries, all of which already have debt issues.

As for China, in September 2015 in a joint statement with the US, China agreed to work towards strictly controlling public investment flowing into projects with high pollution and carbon emissions both domestically and internationally. So far China has taken some strong steps domestically, announcing the closure of around 1000 coal mines and a three-year ban on opening new ones, as well as stopping the construction of new coal power plants in 15 regions. Its coal use appears to have peaked in 2013, leading to drops in greenhouse gas emissions. However its commitment to strictly controlling high-carbon investments has not yet been translated into reality in southeast Europe.

**Read more about coal in the Balkans:**

http://bankwatch.org/campaign/coal

http://kingsofcoal.org/
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